

US AGENDA FOR GLOBAL MILITARY DOMINATION

The Pentagon has released the summary of a top secret Pentagon document, which sketches America's agenda for global military domination.

With the exception of the *Wall Street Journal* (11 March 2005), not a word has been mentioned in the US media.

The document outlines, according to the *Wall Street Journal*, America's global military design which consists in "enhancing US influence around the world", through increased troop deployments and a massive build-up of America's advanced weapons systems.

While the document follows in the footsteps of the administration's "pre-emptive" war doctrine, it goes much further in setting the contours of Washington's global military agenda.

It calls for a more "proactive" approach to warfare, beyond the weaker notion of "pre-emptive" and defensive actions, where military operations are launched against a "declared enemy" with a view to "preserving the peace" and "defending America".

The document explicitly acknowledges America's global military mandate, beyond regional war theatres. This mandate also includes military operations directed against countries, which are not hostile to



America, but which are considered strategic from the point of view of US interests.

From a broad military and foreign policy perspective, the March 2005 Pentagon document constitutes an imperial design, which supports US corporate interests worldwide.

The *Wall Street Journal* reports:

"At its heart, the document is driven by the belief that the US is engaged in a continuous global struggle that extends far beyond specific battlegrounds, such as Iraq and Afghanistan. The vision is for a military that is far more proactive, focused on changing the world instead of just responding to conflicts such as a North Korean

attack on South Korea, and assuming greater prominence in countries in which the US isn't at war."

The document suggests that its objective also consists in "offensive" rather than run-of-the-mill "pre-emptive" operations. There is, in this regard, a subtle nuance in relation to earlier post-911 national security statements:

"[The document presents] 'four core' problems, none of them involving traditional military confrontations. The services are told to develop forces that can: build partnerships with failing states to defeat internal terrorist threats; defend the homeland, including offensive strikes against terrorist groups planning attacks; influence the choices of countries at a strategic crossroads, such as China and

Russia; and prevent the acquisition of weapons of mass destruction by hostile states and terrorist groups."

The classified document points to the need for a massive recruitment and training of troops. These troops, including new contingents of special forces and other specialised military personnel, would be involved, around the World, in acts of military policing:

"Mr Rumsfeld's approach likely will trigger major shifts in the weapons systems that the Pentagon buys, and even more fundamental changes in the training and deployment of US troops throughout the world," said defence officials who have played a role in crafting the document or are involved in the review.

The US would seek to deploy these troops far earlier in a looming conflict than they traditionally have been to help a tottering government's armed forces confront guerrillas before an insurgency is able to take root and build popular support. Officials said the plan envisions many such teams operating around the world.

US military involvement is not limited to the Middle East. The sending in of special forces in military policing operations, under the guise of peace-keeping and training, is contemplated in all major regions of the World. A large part of these activities, however, will most probably be carried out by private mercenary companies on contract to the Pentagon, NATO or the United Nations.

(Source: By Michel Chossudovsky; 18 March 2005; <http://globalresearch.ca/>)



QUESTIONING THE CAUSE OF THE TSUNAMI

A prominent West Australian geologist whose work is internationally recognised, is convinced that the accepted explanation for the devastating Boxing Day tsunami is wrong.

Dr James Maxlow blames the tsunami on what he says is "the continuous expansion of the earth's crust creating under-sea fault movements". He dismisses the alternative and widely held view that it was caused by compression and subduction in plate tectonics as a myth.

Maxlow says that conventional wisdom is based on a hypothesis that the Earth has maintained a constant size during its geological evolution.

Instead, his research shows that the size of the Earth 1600-million years ago was little more than one-fifth of its dimensions today and the planet is currently continuing to grow at the rate of 22 millimetres a year.

"Growth like this over millions of years has weakened the Earth's crust causing fractures which lead to natural disasters such as the Asian tsunami and other seismic events," said Dr Maxlow.

(Source: 26 April 2005; Press Release; Terrella Consultants, Tel: +61 8 9298 8819 www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Launchpad/6520/)

CONFERENCE FALLS FOR GIBBERISH PRANK

A bunch of computer-generated gibberish masquerading as an academic paper has been accepted at a scientific conference in a victory for pranksters at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Jeremy Stribling and two fellow MIT graduate students questioned the standards of some academic conferences, so they wrote a computer program to generate research papers complete with nonsensical text, charts and diagrams.

The trio submitted two of the randomly assembled papers to the World Multiconference on Systemics, Cybernetics and Informatics (WMSCI), scheduled to be held July 10-13, 2005 in Orlando, Florida.

To their surprise, one of the papers—"Router: A Methodology for the Typical Unification of Access Points and Redundancy"—was accepted for presentation.

"Router" features such mind-bending gems as: "the model for our heuristic

consists of four independent components: simulated annealing, active networks, flexible modalities, and the study of reinforcement learning" and "We implemented our scatter/gather I/O server in Simula-67, augmented with opportunistically pipelined extensions".

Stribling said the trio targeted WMSCI because it is notorious within the field of computer science for sending copious e-mails that solicit admissions to the conference. "We were tired of the spam," Stribling told Reuters in a telephone interview, adding that his team wanted to challenge the standards of the conference's peer review process.

(Source: Reuters April 14, 2005; <http://tinyurl.com/5haje>)

THE FUTURE WITH CHIPS

For anyone under 30, handsets as we know them will be gone in 20 years. The world's tech-savvy youngsters will be using microchip implants to communicate and transact.

If the microchip scenario sounds too much like a *Star Trek* episode, London nuclear physicist, marine biologist and futurist Wolfgang Grulke has news: it's already happening.

Already two scientists at Britain's Warwick University have chips embedded under their skin that let them send emails just by thinking.

The process is still cumbersome, Mr Grulke says, but by willing a cursor around a keyboard on a computer screen with their

mind, they can write and send emails. "It's really the start of interfacing the chip with the nervous system," he said.

(Source: *The Age*; April 14, 2005. www.theage.com.au)

THE RACE TO CONTROL THE IONOSPHERE

Control of the Earth's weather in a specific location by manipulating the ionosphere and the Earth's outer radiation belts is possible, and many nations are developing countermeasures to neutralise such weather manipulation techniques, according to an editorial in *India Daily*.

"The modern methods of artificial weather modifications involve artificial ionization of Earth's atmosphere between 15,000 and 30,000 feet and above. Manipulating the ionosphere and use of controlled solar-terrestrial interactions can create much larger effects. The Sun's natural electromagnetic radiation reaching the Earth controls the Earth's weather. The Sun's radiation and ultraviolet rays have to cross the ionosphere to reach the Earth. Solar radiations and flares are directly responsible for planetary weather changes. Solar flares and levels of radiations are caused by bombardment of cosmic rays on the Sun from either a distant massive black hole or a star-cluster caused by the collapse of thousands and thousands of stars in a small space. The ionosphere acts as a filter to the solar radiations that reach the Earth. Manipulation and controlling the filter is a potential source of massive



"It's the Ultra Deluxe model. It said on the box it had special extra features."

... GLOBAL NEWS ...

weather modification. Controlling the ionosphere potentially allows weather control. There are many methods of controlling the ionosphere. It is the process of artificially manipulating ion density in the ionosphere. High-power transmitter and antenna array operating in the HF (High Frequency) range is one of the methods. There is lots of literature on that on the Internet and declassified scientific research journals.

"Many countries are now rushing to take countermeasures to take control of the ionosphere that impacts their nation's weather. The countermeasures involve reverse ionization and monitoring through advanced satellite-monitoring methods. The anomalies in weather prediction models also provide an early indication of someone trying to control the local weather. Indian Space Research Organization (ISRO) is hurriedly installing weather monitoring stations all over India.

"According to international think tanks, the major powers of the world are racing towards a cold war of controlling the ionosphere. The methods and counter-methods need a tremendous amount of electrical power. The hardware is now guided by the software algorithms to first detect such weather manipulation and then take countermeasures to neutralize adversaries' attempts. Soon a new generation of satellites will take control of the ionosphere.

"The silent cold war on controlling the ionosphere is on!"

(Source: *India Daily*, April. 10, 2005; <http://www.indiadaily.com/editorial/2258.asp>)

NANOBACTERIA MAKING US ILL?

Olavi Kajander didn't mean to discover the mysterious particles that have been called the most primitive organisms on Earth and that could be responsible for a series of painful and fatal illnesses.

He was simply trying to find out why certain cultures of mammalian cells in his lab would die no matter how carefully he prepared them.

So the Finnish biochemist and his colleagues slipped some of their old cultures under an electron microscope one day in 1988 and took a closer look. That's when they saw the particles. Like bacteria but an astonishing 100 times smaller, they seemed to be thriving inside the dying cells.

Believing them to be a possible new form of life, Kajander named the particles "nanobacteria", published a paper outlining his findings and spurred one of the biggest controversies in modern microbiology.

At the heart of the debate is the question of whether nanobacteria could actually be a new form of life. To this day, critics argue that a particle just 20–200 nanometres in diameter can't possibly harbour the components necessary to sustain life. The particles are also incredibly resistant to heat and other methods that would normally kill bacteria, which makes some scientists wonder if they might be an unusual form of crystal rather than organisms.

The debate has been fuelled by an increasing number of studies linking

nanobacteria to serious health problems, including kidney stones, aneurysms and ovarian cancer. The studies show that nanobacteria can infect humans, a find that has helped push nanobacteria back into the limelight.

Now the pressure is on to resolve the controversy and expose how nanobacteria works—no matter what it is.

(Source: *Wired News*, March 14, 2005)

BUILDING NANOMACHINES OUT OF LIVING BACTERIA

Live bacteria could one day act as reconfigurable components for nanoscale electronic circuits, or even a scaffold for building nanomachines.

"Nature has developed these fantastic building blocks," says Robert Hamers of the University of Wisconsin-Madison. "Our approach is to simply grab onto them very gently." His team has been using electrodes to manipulate individual bacterial cells—as they will report in a future issue of *Nano Letters*.

At the moment, nanostructures have to be put together manually. But it might be possible to automate the process using bacteria, as components tagged with particular biological molecules will stick to complementary surface proteins on the bacteria.

Another use for Hamers-type electrodes would be in biosensors that could detect biological agents such as anthrax from changes in an electrode's current as spores become attached.


(Source: *New Scientist*; 2 April 2005)

MELANOMA OF THE SKIN—NOT A SUNSHINE STORY!

An earlier study on malignant melanoma incidence in Sweden, Norway, Denmark and the USA, found a strong association between the introduction of FM radio broadcasting at full-body resonant frequencies and increasing melanoma incidence.

The purpose of this current study was to review mortality and incidence data for malignant melanoma of the skin in Sweden and its temporal relation to increased "sun-travelling", and to the introduction of FM and TV broadcasting networks.

The data included incidence rates of malignant melanoma, death numbers, charter travel statistics, and data on the expansion of the FM broadcasting network in all counties of Sweden.



A good correlation in time was found for the rollout of FM/TV broadcasting networks while the increased amount of "sun travel" by air (charter) did not start until seven years after the melanoma trend break in 1955. Counties that did not roll out their FM broadcasting network until several years after 1955 continued to have a stable melanoma mortality during the intervening years.

The increased incidence and mortality of melanoma of skin cannot solely be explained by increased exposure to UV radiation from the sun. We conclude that continuous disturbance of cell repair mechanisms by body-resonant electromagnetic fields seems to amplify the carcinogenic effects resulting from cell damage caused, for example, by UV radiation.

(Source: Med Sci Monit, 2004; 10(7): CR336-340, July 1st 2004)

SONY PATENT TAKES FIRST STEP TOWARDS REAL-LIFE MATRIX

Imagine movies and computer games in which you get to smell, taste and perhaps even feel things. That's the tantalising prospect raised by a patent on a device for transmitting sensory data directly into the human brain—granted to Sony.

The technique suggested in the patent is entirely non-invasive. It describes a device that fires pulses of ultrasound at the head to modify firing patterns in targeted parts of the brain, creating "sensory experiences" ranging from moving images to tastes and sounds. This could give blind or deaf people the chance to see or hear, the patent claims.

While brain implants are becoming increasingly sophisticated, the only non-invasive ways of manipulating the brain remain crude.

A technique known as transcranial magnetic stimulation can activate nerves by using rapidly changing magnetic fields to induce currents in brain tissue.

However, magnetic fields cannot be finely focused on small groups of brain cells, whereas ultrasound could be.

If the method described by Sony really does work, it could have all sorts of uses in research and medicine, even if it is not capable of evoking sensory experiences detailed enough for the entertainment purposes envisaged in the patent.

(Source: New Scientist; 7 April 2005)

CLASS ACTION ALLEGES BANKS ILLEGALLY CREATE MONEY

John Ruiz Dempsey BSc, LLB, a criminologist and forensic litigation specialist filed a class action suit on behalf of the People of Canada alleging that financial institutions are engaged in illegal creation of money. The complaint filed Friday April 15, 2005 in the Supreme Court of British Columbia at New Westminster, alleges that all financial institutions who are in the business of lending money have engaged in a deliberate scheme to defraud the borrowers by lending non-existent money which is illegally created by the financial institutions out of "thin air."

Dempsey claims that creation of money out of nothing is *ultra vires* of these defendants' charter or granted corporate power and therefore void and all monies loaned under false pretence contravenes the Criminal Code.

The suit which is the first of its kind ever filed in Canada, and which could involve millions of Canadians, alleges that the contracts entered into between the People ("the borrowers") and the financial institutions were void or voidable and have no force and effect due to anticipated breach and for non-disclosure of material facts. Dempsey says the transactions constitute counterfeiting and money laundering in that the source of money, if money was indeed advanced by the defendants and deposited into the borrowers' accounts, could not be traced, nor could be explained or accounted for.

The suit names Envision Credit Union; Laurentian Bank of Canada, Royal Bank of Canada, Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce, Bank of Montreal, TD Canada Trust and Canadian Payment Association as civil conspirators. The plaintiff in the lawsuit is seeking recovery of money and property that was lost by way of confiscation through illegal "debt" collection and foreclosure.

At all material times, these defendant banks and all of them have no legal standing to lend any money to borrowers, because: 1) these banks and credit unions did not have the money to lend, and therefore they did not have any capacity to enter into a binding contract; 2) the defendants did not have any cash reserve, they are not legally permitted to lend their depositors' or members' money without expressed written authorisation from the depositors, and; 3) the defendants have no tangible assets of their own to lend and all their "assets" are "paper assets" which are mainly in the form of "receivables" created by them out of "thin air", derived out of loans whereas the monies loaned out were also created out of thin air.

Other than bookkeeping and computer entries, no money or substance of any value was loaned by the defendants to the plaintiff. In all of the loan transactions entered into between the plaintiff and the defendants, the financial institutions did not bring any equity to any of the transactions. All the equities were provided by the borrowers. The practices of the defendant financial institutions alleged in the complaint starkly contrast the practices of responsible and ethical money lenders who actually lend real, tangible, legal tender cash money. The complaint alleges that the loan transactions are fraudulent because no value was ever imparted by the defendants to the plaintiff; these defendants did not risk anything, nor lost anything and never would have lost anything under any circumstances and therefore no lien has been perfected according to law and equity against the plaintiff.

The foreclosure proceedings which come as a result of the borrower defaulting on such fraudulent loans were carried out in bad faith by the defendant banks and credit unions, and as such, these foreclosures were in every respect unlawful acts of conversion and unlawful seizure of property without due process of law which always results in the unjust enrichment of the defendants.

The suit alleges that the defendants utilise fraudulent banking practices whereby they deceive customers into believing that they are actually receiving "credit" or money when in fact no actual money is being loaned to their customers. However, the complaint describes a practice whereby there is realistically no money other than ledger or computer entries being loaned to the borrowers. Rather than real money being received by the borrowers, "electronic" or "digitally created money", created out of nothing, at no cost to the financial institutions are entered as "loans" into their customers' accounts. The borrowers are then required to pay criminal interest rates for the money they never received. The suit alleges that the defendants effectively turn consumers into virtual debt slaves, forcing them to pay for something they never received, and then seizing their properties if they can no longer pay the banks with real money.

(Source: April 15, 2005; National Press Release; Contact: John R. Dempsey, New Westminster, B.C., Email: classaction_cpa@hotmail.com www.freewebs.com/classaction/)